arXiv:1606.06633v1 [stat.AP] 21 Jun 2016

Multi-scale detection of variance changes in renewal processes in the presence of rate change points

Stefan Albert[1,*] Michael Messer[1,*] Julia Schiemann[2]
Jochen Roeper[3]
Gaby Schneider[1]

[1]Institute of Mathematics, Goethe University, Frankfurt (Main), Germany
[2] Centre for Integrative Physiology, University of Edinburgh, UK
[3] Institute of Neurophysiology, Goethe University, Frankfurt (Main), Germany

[*] equal contribution

Abstract

Non-stationarity of the rate or variance of events is a well-known problem in the description and analysis of time series of events, such as neuronal spike trains. A multiple filter test (MFT) for homogeneity of the rate has been proposed earlier that detects change points on multiple time scales simultaneously. It is based on a filtered derivative approach, and the rejection threshold derives from a Gaussian limit process L which is independent of the point process parameters.

Here we extend the MFT to the analysis of variance homogeneity of life times. When the rate is constant, the MFT extends directly to the null hypothesis of constant variance and also to higher order moments under the assumption of homogeneity of lower order moments. In the presence of rate change points, we propose an adaptation of the test statistic. In order to ensure that rate changes are not falsely interpreted as variance changes, we propose to estimate the rate change points first, irrespective of potential variance changes, and incorporate these estimates in the statistical test for variance homogeneity. The resulting limit process shows slight deviations from L that depend on unknown process parameters. We use simulations to show that these deviations tend to be small for a wide range of parameter values, suggesting that the use of L does not considerably change the properties of the statistical test. Finally, the procedure is applied to empirical spike trains obtained from dopaminergic neurons in anaesthetized mice, indicating various profiles of rate and variance change points.

Keywords:
point process; changepoint detection; filtered derivative; multiscale; spike train; change in variance; change in rate

1 Introduction

Non-stationarity of the rate or variance of events is a well-known problem in the description and analysis of time series of events. For example, neuronal activity patterns in spike trains are often analyzed with point process models (Dayan and Abbott, 2005; Kass et al., 2005; Grün and Rotter, 2010). As such analyses can be affected by changes in process parameters,

it is often necessary to use preprocessing steps that divide the processes into sections with approximately constant parameters (Grün et al., 2002; Schneider, 2008; Staude et al., 2010; Quiroga-Lombard et al., 2013). These preprocessing steps use models with step functions for the parameters and aim at detecting the points in time when the parameters change, i.e., the change points.

For the detection of change points in the rate, several techniques have been developed, e.g. Bertrand et al. (2011); Horváth et al. (2008); Kirch and Muhsal (2014). Interesting multi scale methods have been proposed by Fryzlewicz (2014); Matteson and James (2014); Messer et al. (2014); Frick et al. (2014). For a general survey about change point methods we refer to the books Basseville and Nikiforov (1993); Brodsky and Darkhovsky (1993); Csörgö and Horváth (1997) or the review article of Aue and Horváth (2013).

Some of these techniques can also be applied to the detection of variance change points, and other approaches to the analysis of variance homogeneity have been proposed by Hsu (1977); Inclan (1993); Inclan and Tiao (1994); Chen and Gupta (1997); Whitcher et al. (2000); Killick et al. (2010); Zhao et al. (2010); Noorossana and Heydari (2012); Korkas and Fryzlewicz (2016). However, most available methods use specific assumptions on the underlying distribution, e.g., Gaussian sequences, or aim at detecting at most one change point. In addition, they usually assume the rate to be constant. Few applied approaches simultaneously deal with potential rate and variance changes (Hawkins and Zamba, 2005; Rodionov, 2005). Recently, Dette et al. (2015) proposed a statistical test for the null hypothesis of constant variance in the presence of a smoothly varying mean.

Here, we develop a procedure that is applicable to renewal processes with a wide range of life time distributions. In our setting we are not restricted to the alternative of at most one change-point and allow for a non-stationary rate (with potential rate change points). The goal is to detect, both, rate and variance change points (cmp. Figure 1) that may occur in multiple time scales.

Figure 1: A point process with non-stationary rate and variance profile.

We build on a recently proposed multiple filter test (MFT) (Messer et al., 2014) and a corresponding algorithm (MFA) designed for the detection of change points in the rate on different time scales in a wide class of point processes which allows for a certain variability in the variance of the life times. The filtered derivative idea used in the MFT works as follows. Assume that each life time ξ_i depends on a parameter ϑ_i of which change points are to be detected. For each time t, compare the information about ϑ in the left and right window of size h, i.e., $J_{\text{le}} := J(t-h, t]$ and $J_{\text{ri}} := J(t, t+h]$, using a scaled process $G := (G_{h,t})_t$ with

$$G_{h,t} := \frac{J_{\text{ri}} - J_{\text{le}}}{\hat{s}_t}, \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{s}$ is an appropriate estimator for the standard deviation of the numerator under the null hypothesis of no change point in ϑ. For example, Messer et al. (2014) use the numbers of events in the left and right window in order to detect change points in the mean of the life times. Under mild assumptions, the process G converges weakly under the null hypothesis to

a process $L := (L_{h,t})_t$ given by

$$L_{h,t} := \frac{(W_{t+h} - W_t) - (W_t - W_{t-h})}{\sqrt{2h}}, \tag{2}$$

for a standard Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$, if the window size h grows linearly with the total time T (cmp. Messer et al. (2014); Steinebach and Eastwood (1995)). Note that the process L does not depend on the parameters of the point process.

While under the null hypothesis, G fluctuates around zero, a change in ϑ at time t should cause systematic deviations from zero. Therefore, a large temporal maximum $M_h := \max_t G_{h,t}$ indicates a change point in ϑ. Using a finite set of multiple windows $H = \{h_1, \ldots, h_k\} \subset (0, T/2]$ simultaneously, the MFT allows for the detection of change points on different time scales. The global maximum M of all processes $(G_{h,t})_{h\in H}$ serves as a test statistic whose distribution can be derived from the corresponding limit processes $(L_{h,t})_{h\in H}$, i.e.,

$$M := \max_{h\in H} M_h = \max_{h\in H} \max_{t\in[h,T-h]} |G_{h,t}| \sim \max_{h\in H} \max_{t\in[h,T-h]} |L_{h,t}|. \tag{3}$$

By simulating these limit processes $(L_{h,t})_{h\in H}$ as functionals of the same underlying Brownian motion, the rejection threshold Q of the MFT can be obtained.

In this study we extend the MFT in Messer et al. (2014) to detect change points in the variance of life times, first assuming rate homogeneity. Assuming $(\xi_i)_{i\geq 1}$ i.i.d. with variance σ^2, the information J in the process G from (1) now is the estimator of σ^2. This yields

$$G_{h,t} := \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{ri}} - \hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{le}}}{\hat{s}_t}, \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{s}_t$ denotes an estimator of the standard deviation of the numerator.

We develop an asymptotic statistical test for the null hypothesis of constant variance in section 2.1. First, weak process convergence in Skorokhod topology of the filtered derivative process to a Gaussian limit process L is shown in the case of a constant mean (Theorem 2.3). This procedure can also be extended to higher order moments (section 2.3). Second, we investigate the impact of one rate change point on the limit behavior in section 2.4. Under the null of constant variance, the limit process is a continuous, $2h$-dependent zero-mean, unit-variance Gaussian process $\widetilde{L}$ similar to L (Theorem 2.5), with slight changes in the covariance structure in the neighborhood of a rate change point. As the process $\widetilde{L}$ depends on unknown point process parameters, we suggest to use L to derive the rejection threshold of the test. This is supported by our theoretical and simulation results.

The practical performance of the MFT and the corresponding MFA (Messer et al., 2014) for the detection of variance change points is presented in section 3. Our simulations suggest that the significance level of the MFT for variance changes is kept for a wide range of parameter settings also in cases with additional rate changes. Further, the detection probability of variance change points is barely affected by rate change points. We also present an example for the MFA on rate and variance change point detection and illustrate the importance of including existing rate change points in the estimation of variance change points. Finally, we use the MFA in section 4 to estimate rate and variance change points in spike train recordings obtained in the substantia nigra of anaesthetized mice.

2 The MFT for testing variance homogeneity

Throughout this paper we let Φ denote a point process on the positive line with events $S_1, S_2, \ldots$ and life times $(\xi_i)_{i\geq 1}$ with $\xi_1 = S_1$ and $\xi_i = S_i - S_{i-1}$, $i = 2, 3, \ldots$ Here we consider a class $\mathscr{R}$ of renewal processes on the positive line with $\xi_i \in \mathscr{L}^4$ (Definition 2.1).

Definition 2.1. *(Renewal process) The class of point processes with i.i.d., a.s. positive life times $(\xi_i)_{i\geq 1}$ with $\xi_1 \in \mathscr{L}^4$ is called $\mathscr{R}$.*

A process $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$ whose life times have mean μ and variance σ^2 is denoted by $\Phi(\mu, \sigma^2)$. The inverse of the mean, μ^{-1}, is termed the rate of Φ, and we also denote $\nu^2 := \mathbb{V}ar((\xi_1 - \mu)^2)$. A class of processes that are piecewise elements of $\mathscr{R}$ is used in order to introduce rate and/or variance changes.

Definition 2.2. *(Renewal process with change points in the mean or variance) For $T > 0$ let $C := \{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$ be a set of change points with $0 < c_1 < \ldots < c_k < T$. At time $t = 0$ start $k + 1$ independent elements of $\mathscr{R}$*

$$\Phi_1\left(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2\right), \ldots, \Phi_{k+1}\left(\mu_{k+1}, \sigma_{k+1}^2\right), \tag{5}$$

with $(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \neq (\mu_{i+1}, \sigma_{i+1}^2)$. Let $c_0 := 0$, $c_{k+1} := T$ and define

$$\Phi := \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} \Phi_j|_{(c_{j-1}, c_j]},$$

where $\Phi_j|_{(c_{j-1}, c_j]}$ denotes the restriction of Φ_j to the interval $(c_{j-1}, c_j]$.

The family of processes which derive according to Definition 2.2 is called $\mathscr{M}$ (see Figure 2 for an example). For $\Phi \in \mathscr{M}$, at each change point c_i the rate and/or the variance changes, such that the rate and variance constitute step functions.

Thus, we test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \sigma_1^2 = \ldots = \sigma_{k+1}^2$ against the alternative $H_A : \exists i, j : \sigma_i^2 \neq \sigma_j^2$.



Figure 2: A realization of a process Φ according to Definition 2.2. Φ originates from two processes $\Phi_1(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $\Phi_2(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) \in \mathscr{R}$. Each life time ξ_i has mean $\mu^{(i)}$ and standard deviation $\sigma^{(i)}$.

2.1 Filtered derivative approach for the variances

As explained in the introduction, we test the null hypothesis using a window size $h > 0$ and the filtered derivative process from (4) for $t \in \tau_h := [h, T-h]$ defined as

$$G_{h,t} := \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{ri}} - \hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{le}}}{\hat{s}_t}$$

if $\hat{s}_t > 0$ and $G_{h,t} := 0$ otherwise. The numerator is given by the standard variance estimators (eq. (8)), and $\hat{s}_t$ is a local estimator of the standard deviation of the numerator (eq. (9)). We use the notation

$$V_i := (\xi_i - \mu^{(i)})^2 \tag{6}$$

with $\mu^{(i)} := \mathbb{E}[\xi_i]$, $(\sigma^{(i)})^2 := \mathbb{E}[V_i] = \mathbb{V}ar(\xi_i)$ and $(\nu^{(i)})^2 := \mathbb{V}ar(V_i)$ (Figure 2). In order to include estimated rates, we use an estimator $\hat{\mu}^{(i)}$ of $\mu^{(i)}$ and define the estimator of V_i as

$$\hat{V}_i := (\xi_i - \hat{\mu}^{(i)})^2. \tag{7}$$

If $\hat{I}_{\text{le}}$ and $\hat{I}_{\text{ri}}$ denote the sets of life times in $(t-h, t]$ and $(t, t+h]$ which do not overlap a rate change point, the standard variance estimators are given by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{le}} := \frac{1}{|\hat{I}_{\text{le}}|} \sum_{i \in \hat{I}_{\text{le}}} \hat{V}_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{ri}} := \frac{1}{|\hat{I}_{\text{ri}}|} \sum_{i \in \hat{I}_{\text{ri}}} \hat{V}_i \tag{8}$$

if $|I_{\text{ri}}|, |I_{\text{le}}| > 0$ and zero otherwise. The estimator $\hat{s}^2_t$ of $\mathbb{V}ar(\hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{ri}} - \hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{le}})$ in the denominator of G is defined as

$$\hat{s}^2_t := \frac{\hat{\nu}^2_{\text{ri}}}{h/\hat{\mu}_{\text{ri}}} + \frac{\hat{\nu}^2_{\text{le}}}{h/\hat{\mu}_{\text{le}}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\hat{\mu}_{\text{ri}}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{\text{le}}$ are the means of the life times in I_{ri} and I_{le} and the numerators are estimated as

$$\hat{\nu}^2_{\text{le}} := \frac{1}{|\hat{I}_{\text{le}}|} \sum_{i \in \hat{I}_{\text{le}}} (\hat{V}_i - \hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{le}})^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\nu}^2_{\text{ri}} := \frac{1}{|\hat{I}_{\text{ri}}|} \sum_{i \in \hat{I}_{\text{ri}}} (\hat{V}_i - \hat{\sigma}^2_{\text{ri}})^2 \tag{10}$$

for $|\hat{I}_{\text{le}}| > 0$ and $|\hat{I}_{\text{ri}}| > 0$ and zero otherwise. This is motivated by the CLT $\sqrt{t/\mu}(\hat{\sigma}^2_t - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \nu^2)$ as $t \to \infty$, where $\hat{\sigma}^2_t$ denotes the empirical variance of all life times up to time t.

2.2 Limit behaviour of $G_{h,t}$ under a constant rate

If the mean of the life times is constant μ, one can show the following Theorem 2.3, which allows application of the multiple filter approach. We use the extended filtered derivative process $G^{(n)} := (G^{(n)}_{h,t})_t := (G_{nh,nt})_t$ from (4) where the window size and the time grow linearly in n. Furthermore, we use the globally estimated mean $\hat{\mu} := \hat{\mu}_{nT} := (1/N_{nT}) \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nT}} \xi_i$ as estimator for each $\mu^{(i)}$, where N_t denotes the number of events up to time t.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T > 0$ and $h \in (0, T/2]$ be a window size. If $\Phi \in \mathscr{M}$ with constant μ and σ^2 using the globally estimated mean $\hat{\mu}$ we have in $(D[h, T-h], d_{SK})$ for $n \to \infty$*

$$G^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} L,$$

with L as defined in (2).

For a proof see Appendix A.1. Note that this assertion holds particularly for a constant and known mean, i.e., if $\hat{\mu}^{(i)} = \mu_1 \ \forall i \geq 1$.

2.3 Changes in the k-th moments

Analogously to investigating homogeneity of the variance we can also test the null hypothesis of homogeneity of the k-th order moments $m_k := \mathbb{E}[\xi_1^k]$ of the life times $(\xi_i)_{i\geq 1}$ for every fixed k under the assumption that all lower order moments are constant. In this case, the scaled process G from (1) uses the standard estimators of the k-th order moments $\hat{m}_k$ in the two windows in the numerator. More precisely, we get

$$G_{h,t} := \frac{\hat{m}_{k,\mathrm{ri}} - \hat{m}_{k,\mathrm{le}}}{\hat{s}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{s}^2 := \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{V}ar}\left(\xi_1^k\right)_{\mathrm{ri}}}{h/\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{ri}}} + \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{V}ar}\left(\xi_1^k\right)_{\mathrm{le}}}{h/\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{le}}}, \tag{11}$$

where the numerators in $\hat{s}^2$ are the standard variance estimators. Under the null hypothesis of constant k-th order moment we find convergence of $(G_{h,t})$ to the same limit process L.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $T > 0$, $h \in (0, T/2]$ be a window size. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$ (Def. 2.1) with $\xi_1 \in \mathscr{L}^{2k}$. Let $G^{(n)} := G_{nh,nt}$ be as in (11). Then it holds in $(D[h, T-h], d_{SK})$ as $n \to \infty$*

$$G^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} L.$$

The proof is analogous to the first part of the proof of Theorem 2.3.

2.4 Limit behaviour of G with one rate change point

In this section we extend Theorem 2.3 allowing for one rate change point, while testing the null hypothesis of variance homogeneity. Assuming a process with at most one rate change point, the process G can be shown to converge against a limit process $\widetilde{L}$ (Theorem 2.5), which is, like L, a zero-mean $2h$-dependent Gaussian process with unit variance. It differs from L only in the covariance in the $3h$-neighborhood of a change point c (see section 3.2 and Fig. 5 C,D).

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\Phi^{(n)} \in \mathscr{M}$ (Def. 2.2) with at most one rate change and no variance change, as follows. Let $\Phi_1(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2), \Phi_2(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) \in \mathscr{R}$ with $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$, $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ and consequently ${\nu_1}^2 \neq {\nu_2}^2$. For $c \in (0, T]$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ let*

$$\Phi^{(n)} := \Phi_1|_{[0,nc]} + \Phi_2|_{(nc,nT]}, \tag{12}$$

meaning that $\Phi^{(n)}$ fulfills H_0. Assume a consistent estimator $\hat{c}$ of c with

$$|\hat{c} - c| = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1/n) \tag{13}$$

where $o_{\mathbb{P}}(\cdot)$ is the small o-notation with respect to convergence in probability. Let $G^{(n)}$ be the filtered derivative process associated with $\Phi^{(n)}$ using the empirical means $\hat{\mu}_1^{\hat{c}}$, $\hat{\mu}_2^{\hat{c}}$ estimated in the intervals $[0, \hat{c})$ and $[\hat{c}, T]$. Then with $\widetilde{L}$ from (14), as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$G^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} \widetilde{L},$$

where $\xrightarrow{d}$ denotes weak convergence in the Skorokhod topology. The marginals $\widetilde{L}_{h,t}$ of the limit

process $\widetilde{L}$ *equal* L *outside the* h*-neighborhood of* c *and are given by*

$$\widetilde{L}_{h,t} = \begin{cases} L_{h,t}, & |t-c| > h, \\ \frac{\sqrt{(\mu_{ri}\nu_2)^2/(\mu_2 h^2)}(W_{t+h}-W_c)+\sqrt{(\mu_{ri}\nu_1)^2/(\mu_1 h^2)}(W_c-W_t)-\sqrt{\mu_1{\nu_1}^2/h^2}(W_t-W_{t-h})}{s_t^{(1)}}, & t \in [c-h, c], \\ \frac{\sqrt{\mu_2{\nu_2}^2/h^2}(W_{t+h}-W_t)-\sqrt{(\mu_{le}\nu_2)^2/(\mu_2 h^2)}(W_t-W_c)-\sqrt{(\mu_{le}\nu_1)^2/(\mu_1 h^2)}(W_c-W_{t-h})}{s_t^{(1)}}, & t \in (c, c+h], \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

for a standard Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$*. The functions* $\mu_{ri} := \mu_{ri,h,t}, \mu_{le} := \mu_{le,h,t}$ *are the limits of the empirical means* $\hat{\mu}_{ri}, \hat{\mu}_{le}$ *(cmp. eq. (9)) and are given by* $\mu_{ri,h,t} := \mu_1$ *for* $t \leq c-h$*,* $\mu_{ri,h,t} := \mu_2$ *for* $t > c$ *and*

$$\mu_{ri,h,t} := \frac{h}{(c-t)/\mu_1 + (t+h-c)/\mu_2}, \tag{15}$$

for $t \in (c-h, c]$ *and analogously for* μ_{le}*. The function* $\left((s_t^{(n)})^2\right)_{t\in\tau_h}$ *is defined by* $\frac{2{\nu_1}^2}{nh/\mu_1}$ *for* $t < c-h$*, by* $\frac{2{\nu_2}^2}{nh/\mu_2}$ *for* $t > c+h$ *and for* $|t-c| \leq h$ *by the following linear interpolation*

$$(s_t^{(n)})^2 := (s_{h,t}^{(n)})^2 := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{\mu_1{\nu_1}^2}{h} + \frac{(c-t)}{h^2\mu_1}(\mu_{ri}\nu_1)^2 + \frac{(t+h-c)}{h^2\mu_2}(\mu_{ri}\nu_2)^2\right), & \text{if } c-h \leq t \leq c \\ \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{(c-(t-h))}{h^2\mu_1}(\mu_{le}\nu_1)^2 + \frac{(t-c)}{h^2\mu_2}(\mu_{le}\nu_2)^2 + \frac{\mu_2{\nu_2}^2}{h}\right), & \text{if } c < t \leq c+h. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.5 can be found in Appendix A.2. As the marginals of L and $\widetilde{L}$ differ only in the h-neighborhood of c and both processes are $2h$-dependent, their covariance structures differ in the $3h$-neighbourhood of the rate change point c.

Note that analogous results hold if there are several rate change points with pairwise distances each larger than $2h$. Furthermore, note that the proof of Theorem 2.5 is based on a FCLT and a consistent estimator of s_t. Therefore the result can be shown not only for renewal processes but also for a subclass of renewal processes with varying variance (RPVV) as introduced in Messer et al. (2014).

Our simulations in section 3.2 suggest that the differences between L and $\widetilde{L}$ are typically small with respect to the 95%-quantile of their absolute maxima. We therefore suggest to use the parameter independent limit process L also in the situation of potential rate change points for the derivation of the rejection threshold in the statistical test. The simulations in section 3 suggest that the MFT using L instead of $\widetilde{L}$ keeps the asymptotic significance level for most combinations of μ and σ even for many unknown rate change points.

3 Change point detection and evaluation in simulations

As the MFT is an asymptotic method, we investigate here the empirical significance level in simulations. Section 3.1 assumes a constant rate, and section 3.2 investigates the behavior for an unknown number of unknown change points in the rate. Section 3.3 investigated the detection probability of variance change points for homogeneous and inhomogeneous rate in a random change point model.

3.1 Global rate

Figure 3 A shows the empirical significance level of the MFT applied to processes with independent and Gamma-distributed life times with mean μ and standard deviation σ. The global empirical mean of the life times is used as an estimator for μ. As discussed in Messer et al. (2014), the minimal window should be large enough such that a sufficiently high number of events can be observed. For change points in the rate, the minimal window should contain at least about 100-150 events (cmp. Messer et al., 2014). For variance change points, the minimal window should be slightly larger. We use here the window set $H = \{150, 250, 500, 750, 1000, 1250\} \cdot \hat{\mu}$, where the minimal window size increases linearly with the mean life time. As indicated in the figure, the test keeps the asymptotic significance level of 5% for a wide range of parameter combinations and not too irregular processes (i.e., $\sigma < 4\mu$).

In the following section, the significance level of the MFT is investigated for a set of multiple unknown change points, implying also an unknown rate profile.



Figure 3: Simulated rejection probability of the MFT for processes with i.i.d. Gamma-distributed life times ($T = 2000$, 5000 simulations) (A) Constant unknown mean, $H = \{150, 250, 500, 750, 1000, 1250\} \cdot \mu$. (B) Multiple unknown rate change points modeled as shown in (C), $H = \{150, 250, 500, 750, 1000, 1250\} \cdot \mu_1$. The mean and all change points are estimated using the MFA from Messer et al. (2014). (C) process Φ used in the simulations in (B) is a piecewise composition of four renewal processes $\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_4$ with Gamma-distributed life times with parameters $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = (\mu_1, 0.8\mu_1, 1.2\mu_1, 1.6\mu_1)$. Waiting times between rate change points are uniformly distributed on $[0, 800]$. At odd valued change points Φ jumps from Φ_1 to a randomly drawn other process, jumping back at even valued change points.

3.2 Inhomogenous rate

In order to investigate the significance level of the MFT in the case of multiple unknown rate change points, we first need to estimate the number and location of the rate change points. To this end, we apply here the multiple filter algorithm (MFA) for the rate proposed in Messer et al. (2014). After estimation of the rate change points, we include the estimated rates into the variance estimation in order to test the null hypothesis of variance homogeneity. When this null hypothesis is rejected, the MFA procedure can be extended to estimate the variance change points. In section 3.2.1, we summarize the idea of the MFA and its two-step application for the detection of rate and variance change points. Section 3.2.2 will be concerned with investigating its significance level in simulations with multiple change points in the rate.

3.2.1 The two-step MFA for the detection of rate and variance change points

In a nutshell, the MFA works as follows. In case of rejection of the null hypothesis, change points are detected using the individual windows. For each window, we successively identify the maxima of the filtered derivative process and exclude their h-neighborhoods. In order to combine change points detected by different windows, change points are added successively starting with the smallest windows. We then successively include only change points whose h-neighborhood does not contain already detected change points. For more details on the MFA compare Messer et al. (2014).

We suggest to apply the MFA first for the estimation of rate change points. Second, we include the estimated rates into the variance estimation (eq. (8)). This sequential MFA is illustrated in Figure 4 in a simulated point process with two rate and two variance change points. In panels B and D-H the estimated variance fits well if the inhomogeneous rates are included in the estimation. Panels A and C also indicate that neglecting the rate change points and thus estimating a constant rate results in erroneous estimation of the rate and the variance profile. This is because the applied test statistic uses the wrong global mean (eq. (7)).

Note that this procedure requires consistency of the estimated rate (Theorem 2.5). Although this has not been shown for the MFA, our simulation results suggest good performance (see section 3.2.2). In addition, note that in the second step of the sequential procedure, i.e. the detection of variance changes, the limit process $\widetilde{L}$ required to set the rejection threshold Q differs from L. However, as $\widetilde{L}$ depends on unknown process parameters, we argue here that one can replace $\widetilde{L}$ by L because the mean and variance of the two Gaussian processes are identical. Differences occur only in the covariance function $\Sigma^h_{u,v} := \mathrm{Cov}(L_{h,u}, L_{h,u+v})$ in the $3h$-neighborhood of a change point and are typically small (Figure 5 A, C), particularly for small σ/μ and small rate changes. For higher changes in the mean and higher σ/μ, larger differences can be observed between L and $\widetilde{L}$ (panel B, D), but their 95%-quantiles Q and $\widetilde{Q}$ remain close together. Also in larger simulations with different rate changes up to a factor of six, Q ranged between the 94.5%- and the 95.1%-quantile of $\max_{h,t} |\widetilde{L}_{h,t}|$ (data not shown).

3.2.2 Significance level under rate inhomogeneity

In order to investigate the empirical significance level of the MFT for variance changes under an unknown set of unknown change points estimated with the rate MFA, we use a random rate change point model with rate changes of different height and at different time scales (Figure 3



Figure 4: Application of the sequential MFA for estimation of rate and variance change points in a simulated point process on $(0, 2000]$ with rate change points $c_1 = 430, c_3 = 1060$ and variance change points $c_2 = 630, c_4 = 1490$. All life times were Gamma-distributed with (μ, σ^2) equalling $(0.25, 0.03)$ in $(0, c_1]$,$(0.35, 0.03)$ in $(c_1, c_2]$, $(0.35, 0.0216)$ in $(c_2, c_3]$, $(0.45, 0.0216)$ in $(c_3, c_4]$ and $(0.45, 0.0357)$ in $(c_4, 2000]$. (A, C) Neglecting rate inhomogeneity in the variance estimation yields erroneous results. Estimated rate (blue) and variance (red), and true profiles (darkblue, darkred). (B, D) The rate change points are estimated and included in the variance estimation. (E, F) Rate MFA. (G, H) Variance MFA. Colored curves show the $(|G_{h,t}|)$-processes colored by window size indicated on the right. Dashed line indicates simulated threshold Q, estimated change points are marked by diamonds. Dashed blue and red lines show the estimated rate and variance profiles, solid lines indicate the true parameter values.



Figure 5: Comparison of the processes L and $\widetilde{L}$ in the neighborhood of a rate change point at $c = T/2$ ($T = 2000$) for processes with small σ/μ and small rate change (A,C) and larger σ/μ and larger rate change (B,D). A, B: Realizations of $|L|$ (red) and $|\widetilde{L}|$ (blue), derived from the same Brownian motion. Outside the h-neighborhood of the change point, the marginals coincide. The 95%-quantiles Q and $\widetilde{Q}$ of the absolute maxima of L and $\widetilde{L}$ (estimated in 10000 simulations) are indicated by dashed and dotted lines. C, D: The estimated empirical covariance functions $\hat{\Sigma}^h_{c,v}$ of L (red) and $\widetilde{L}$ (blue) at the change point c for one window size $h = 100$ estimated in 10000 simulations for the parameters given in A, B, respectively. Parameters for A, C: $\mu_1 = 0.1, \mu_2 = 0.15, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0.1$. Parameters for B, D: $\mu_1 = 0.1, \mu_2 = 0.5, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0.5$.

C). The empirical significance level of the resulting MFT derived in 5000 simulations is plotted in Figure 3 B as a function of the mean μ_1 of the process Φ_1 and the standard deviation σ.

Compared to the situation with constant rate (Figure 3 A), a higher type I error is observed as the rate MFA can usually not correctly estimate all rate change points, which affects the MFT for variance changes (Figure 4 C). The parameter region with empirical significance level $> 5\%$ is larger than under rate homogeneity, including also parameter combinations with high mean and small variance. This suggests that if the average variance is not too large or too small compared to the mean, the smallest window in the MFT for variance changes should contain at least about 150 events.

3.3 Detection probability of variance change points

In this subsection we investigate the detection probability of variance change points for the case of a constant rate and for multiple unknown rate change points. To that end, we simulate processes with rate and variance changes and use the two-step MFA described in section 3.2.1.

For the rate homogenous case we use a random change point model similar to the previous

section, consisting of four Gamma processes with variances $(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2, \sigma_4^2) = (\sigma_1^2, 1.4\sigma_1^2, 1.6\sigma_1^2, 2\sigma_1^2)$. The life times between the variance change points are distributed uniformly on $[0, 1200]$. For the rate inhomogeneous case, we use a similar random change point model with the same expected number of variance change points, which includes also rate changes. In detail, life times between any pair of successive change points are uniformly distributed on $[0, 800]$, and every change point is chosen to change either the variance or the rate or both, each with probability one third.

In the simulations we call a change point *correctly detected* if it is contained in an h-neighborhood of an estimated change point, where h denotes the window used for detection in the MFA. Figure 6 shows the percentage of correctly detected variance change points in the rate homogeneous and the inhomogeneous case for six different parameter combinations with comparable number and strength of variance changes, rate profiles and window sizes. The percentages of correctly detected change points in the rate homogeneous and inhomogeneous case are close together. This suggests that the detection probability of variance change points is not strongly affected by rate inhomogeneity if rate change points are included in the procedure.



Figure 6: Mean relative frequencies of correctly detected variance change points in simulated processes with homogenous rates (red), and inhomogeneous rates (blue). The parameters were $T = 2000$ and $H = \{150, 250, 500, 750, 1000, 1250\} \cdot \mu$, with 5000 simulations per data point. In the rate inhomogeneous case rate and variance changes occur randomly. At odd valued change points, the process jumps from Φ_1 uniformly to Φ_2, Φ_3 or Φ_4 and jumps back at even valued change points. The mean and variance parameters of $\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_4$ were $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = (\mu, 0.8\mu, 1.2\mu, 1.6\mu)$ and $(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2, \sigma_4^2) = (\sigma^2, 1.4\sigma^2, 1.6\sigma^2, 2\sigma^2)$.

Note that strictly speaking, the MFT for rate change detection has been shown to be applicable to renewal processes and to point processes with a certain degree of variability in the variance of life times (RPVV, Messer et al. (2014)). In contrast to these assumptions, the processes simulated here can show rapid changes in the variance. However, this should have negligible impact on the MFT for rate change detection because variance changes (with or without simultaneous rate changes) lead to an almost identical Gaussian limit process with zero-mean and unit variance (cmp. Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.7 in Messer and Schneider (2016)).

4 Application to spike train recordings

In order to illustrate practical application of the proposed method, we analyze 72 empirical spike train recordings of durations between 540 and 900 seconds which were reported partly in Schiemann et al. (2012) and analyzed for rate homogeneity in Messer et al. (2014). As the mean firing rate was about 6 Hz, the window set $H_R := \{25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150\}$ was used there, and rate change points were estimated with the MFA. Here, we use these estimates of rate change points to analyze changes in the variance of inter spike intervals. In order to ensure about 150 events in the smallest window (cmp. section 3.2), we chose a window set $H_V = H_R$. The significance level was set to $\alpha = 5\%$.

In 36 out of all 72 spike trains the null hypothesis of variance homogeneity was rejected, and in 22 spike trains more than one variance change point was detected. In 11 cases, different change points werde detected by different window sizes. The mean rate of detected variance change points was about 0.1 per minute. To measure the strength of a detected variance change we used the absolute difference of the estimated variances $|\hat{\sigma}_1^2 - \hat{\sigma}_2^2|$ normed with their mean $0.5(\hat{\sigma}_1^2 + \hat{\sigma}_2^2)$. This strength ranged between $0.02 - 1.96$.

Combined with the results of the rate change point detection, both null hypotheses of rate and variance homogeneity were rejected in about 50% of all spike trains (35 out of 72). For 27 spike trains, only rate homogeneity was rejected, in one spike train only variance homogenity was rejected, and for 9 spike trains, neither null hypothesis was rejected.

Figure 7 illustrates two spike train analyses with multiple rate and variance change points in which visual impression corresponds closely with the rate and variance profiles estimated by the algorithm. In the first example the rate only changes slightly while the variance shows six strong changes. In the second example, estimated rate and variance change points occur interestingly close to each other.

5 Summary and Discussion

In this paper we have extended a multiple filter test (MFT) that has been proposed in Messer et al. (2014) and that aims at testing the null hypothesis of rate stationarity in renewal processes and to detect rate change points on multiple time scales. The rejection threshold of the test is derived from a Gaussian process L, which emerges as the limit of the filtered derivative process G under stationarity and is independent of the point process parameters.

By replacing the number of events in G by the variance of life times, we analyze here homogeneity of the variance of the life times. When the rate is constant, the MFT extends directly to the null hypothesis of constant variance and also to higher order moments under the assumption of homogeneity of lower order moments. In the presence of rate change points, the process G deviates from zero in expectation in the neighborhood of the rate change point if G is not adjusted for the rate change. This may lead to false interpretation of a rate change point as a variance change point. Therefore we propose an adaptation of the process G that corrects for this deviation by taking into account the rate change. The resulting limit process $\widetilde{L}$ of G vanishes in expectation in the neighborhood of a change point, but its covariance shows slightly different properties from L, and these differences depend on unknown process parameters.

In practice, we propose to estimate the rate change points first by procedures that allow for potential variance changes (e.g., the MFT for renewal processes with varying variance,



Figure 7: Application of the rate and variance MFT to two spike train recordings; $H_R = H_V = \{25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150\}$, $\alpha = 5\%$. (A), (C), (F) and (H) the processes G. The window sizes are color coded (legend on the upper right), and the dashed line indicates the asymptotic rejection threshold Q. Diamonds mark the detected change points. In the first spike train (A-E) one rate and six variance change points are detected with four different windows. In the second spike train (F-J) one window detected three rate and three variance change points. (B) and (G) Rate histograms of the spike trains with estimated rates (black). (D) and (I) Variance histograms with estimated variances. (E) and (J) Parts of the spike trains with marked variance change points.

Messer et al., 2014). One can then incorporate these estimates in the statistical test for variance homogeneity. As $\widetilde{L}$ depends on unknown process parameters, we use the process L instead to compute asymptotic rejection thresholds. Our simulations suggest that the deviations between the limit processes tend to be small for a wide range of parameter values and that the use of L does in these cases not considerably change the properties of the statistical test. In simulations of point processes with constant variance and random rate change points the asymptotic significance level is kept if the smallest window is chosen sufficiently large. In addition, the simulations suggest that the detection of simulated variance change points is hardly affected by the necessity to estimate potential rate change points.

In summary, we have extended a statistical test for the null hypothesis of rate homogeneity to the analysis of variance homogeneity in renewal processes with a wide range of life time distributions. In addition, an algorithm is described that aims at detecting an unknown number of rate and variance change points that may occur at multiple time scales. When applying the procedure to empirical spike trains, both null hypotheses of constant rate and constant variance were rejected in the majority of cases, and multiple rate and variance change points were estimated. This suggests that the proposed method can be helpful for change point estimation and segmentation of empirical processes such as neuronal spike trains. It can thus be used as a means for signal detection or as a preprocessing step to statistical analyses that are sensitive to rate or variance changes.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, Funding number: 01ZX1404B) and by the Priority Program 1665 of the DFG.

A Appendix

The proof of Theorem 2.3 in section A.1 uses the Anscombe-Donsker-Theorem and the consistency of the estimator $\hat{s}^2$. In section A.2, we prove Theorem 2.5 basically using the same ideas as for the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Throughout the Appendix we use the following notation. For $\tau > 0$ we denote the set of all real-valued continuous functions on $[0, \tau]$ by $C[0, \tau]$ and the set of all càdlàg functions by $D[0, \tau]$. $d_{||\cdot||}$ serves as abbreviation for the metric induced by the supremum norm. The Skorokhod metric on $D[0, \tau]$ is abbreviated by d_{SK}. We use $D[0, \infty)$ and $D[\tau_h]$ with the Skorokhod metric. Note the fact that convergence in $(D[0, \infty), d_{||\cdot||})$ implies convergence in $(D[0, \infty), d_{SK})$. Furthermore, for an a.s. constant stochastic process in $D[\tau_h]$ with value c we abbreviate the process $(c)_{t \in \tau_h}$ with c. Note that uniform a.s. convergence interchanges with sums in general and with products if the limits are constant. For a point process Φ with events $(S_i)_{i \geq 1}$ the corresponding counting process $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is defined as

$$N_t := \max\{i \geq 1 | S_i \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with the convention $\max \emptyset := 0$. We specify the sets of indices

$$\hat{I}_{\text{le}} := \{N_{n(t-h)} + 2, N_{n(t-h)} + 3, \ldots, N_{nt}\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{I}_{\text{ri}} := \{N_{nt} + 2, N_{nt} + 3, \ldots, N_{n(t+h)}\}.$$

A.1 Proof of Theorem 2.3

The main ingredients for the proof of weak convergence of the filtered derivative process

$$G_t^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\hat{s}_t^{(n)}} \left(\frac{1}{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} - 1} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} \hat{V}_i - \frac{1}{N_{nt} - N_{n(t-h)} - 1} \sum_{i=N_{n(t-h)}+2}^{N_{nt}} \hat{V}_i \right)$$

in Theorem 2.5 are the Donsker-Anscombe-Theorem and continuous mapping. First, we assume a known mean μ and a known s and thus use an auxiliary process $\Gamma^{(n)}$ defined as follows

$$\Gamma_t^{(n)} := \Gamma_{\mathrm{ri},t}^{(n)} - \Gamma_{\mathrm{le},t}^{(n)} := \frac{1}{s_t^{(n)}} \left(\frac{1}{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} - 1} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} V_i - \frac{1}{N_{nt} - N_{n(t-h)} - 1} \sum_{i=N_{n(t-h)}+2}^{N_{nt}} V_i \right).$$

We show that in $(D[h, T-h] \times D[h, T-h], d_{SK} \otimes d_{SK})$ it holds as $n \to \infty$

$$\left(\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{ri},t}^{(n)} \right)_{t \in \tau_h}, \left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{le},t}^{(n)} \right)_{t \in \tau_h} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\left(\frac{W_{t+h} - W_t}{\sqrt{2h}} \right)_{t \in \tau_h}, \left(\frac{W_t - W_{t-h}}{\sqrt{2h}} \right)_{t \in \tau_h} \right) \tag{17}$$

which yields $\Gamma^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} L$.
In the second step μ is replaced by the estimated global mean $\hat{\mu}$ and s is replaced by $\hat{s}$ thereby showing that $G^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} L$ holds true.

Step 1: Weak process convergence for known process parameters
Recall $V_i = (\xi_i - \mu)^2$ for the life times $(\xi_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of a point process Φ with a known mean μ. We apply a FCLT for randomly stopped processes (Anscombe-Donsker version) to the process defined by

$$Y_t^{(n)} := \frac{1}{\nu \sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} (V_i - \mathbb{E}[V_1]). \tag{18}$$

Since $N_t/t \to 1/\mu$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$, it follows that in $(D[0, \infty), d_{SK})$ it holds $(\sqrt{\mu} Y_t^{(n)})_t \xrightarrow{d} (W_t)_t$, see e.g, Gut (1987). Here, W denotes a standard Brownian motion. Let $\varphi : (D[0, \infty), d_{SK}) \to (D[h, T-h] \times D[h, T-h], d_{SK} \otimes d_{SK})$ be defined by

$$(f(t))_{t \geq 0} \xmapsto{\varphi} \left(\left(\frac{(f(t+h) - f(t))}{\sqrt{2h}} \right)_{t \in \tau_h}, \left(\frac{(f(t) - f(t-h))}{\sqrt{2h}} \right)_{t \in \tau_h} \right).$$

This function is continuous. Mapping $\sqrt{\mu} Y^{(n)}$ via φ, the first component is given by

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2nh\nu^2}} \left[\left(\sum_{i=N_{nt}+1}^{N_{n(t+h)}} V_i \right) - (N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt}) \mathbb{E}[V_1] \right] \right)_{t \in \tau_h} \xrightarrow{d} \left(\frac{W_{t+h} - W_t}{\sqrt{2h}} \right)_{t \in \tau_h}. \tag{19}$$

Now in $(D[h, T-h], d_{SK})$ it holds almost surely that $(N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} - 1)_t \sim (nh/\mu)_t$ as $n \to \infty$, see Lemma A.3.2 in Messer et al. (2014). Thus by Slutsky's theorem,

$$(\Gamma_{\mathrm{ri},t}^{(n)})_{t \in \tau_h} \xrightarrow{d} \left(\frac{W_{t+h} - W_t}{\sqrt{2h}} \right)_{t \in \tau_h}$$

(here, we also omitted one summand $i = N_{nt}+1$, i.e., a term of order $o_{a.s.}(1)$). By exchanging t with $t-h$ and $t+h$ with t, we obtain the convergence for $\Gamma^{(n)}_{\text{le}}$, which refers to the second component of $\varphi(\sqrt{\mu}Y^{(n)})$. Thus, we obtain (17). This implies $\Gamma^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} L$ in $(D[h,T-h],d_{SK})$ as $n \to \infty$ by continuous mapping which is the assertion for a known mean μ. Note that the expectation $\mathbb{E}[V_1]$ vanishes, since it appears in both summands.

Step 2: replacement of parameters by their estimators

In a second step we use the estimated mean $\hat{\mu}_{nT}$. We show that for

$$\hat{Y}^{(n)}_t := \frac{1}{\nu\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} (\hat{V}_i - \mathbb{E}[V_1])$$

in $(D[0,\infty), d_{SK})$ we also obtain

$$(\sqrt{\mu}\hat{Y}^{(n)}_t)_{t\in[0,T]} \xrightarrow{d} (W_t)_{t\in[0,T]}. \tag{20}$$

Thus, the same arguments as in step 1 can be applied to show the assertion $G^{(n)} \to L$. Additionally, by Slutsky's theorem and Corollary A.2 (given below), we can exchange the factor $(nh/2\mu\nu^2)^{1/2}$ with the estimator $1/\hat{s}(nt,nh)$ with the convergence holding true.

To show (20), we rewrite $\hat{Y}^{(n)}_t = Y^{(n)}_t + R^{(n)}_t$, with R given by

$$R^{(n)}_t := \frac{N_{nt}}{\nu\sqrt{n}} \left[(\hat{\mu}_{nT} - \hat{\mu}_{nt})^2 - (\hat{\mu}_{nt} - \mu)^2\right].$$

This decomposition holds true since

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} \hat{V}_i \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} (\xi_i - \hat{\mu}_{nT})^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} \xi_i^2 - 2\hat{\mu}_{nT} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} \xi_i + N_{nT}\hat{\mu}^2_{nT} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} (\xi_i - \mu)^2 + 2(\mu - \hat{\mu}_{nT}) \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} \xi_i + N_{nt}(\hat{\mu}^2_{nT} - \mu^2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} V_i + N_{nt} \left[(\hat{\mu}_{nT} - \hat{\mu}_{nt})^2 - (\hat{\mu}_{nt} - \mu)^2\right].
\end{aligned}$$

We now show that in $(D[0,T], d_{||\cdot||})$ the remainder $R \to 0$ in probability as $n \to \infty$. Then, the convergence in (20) follows by Slutsky's theorem.

It suffices to show that $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} R^{(n)}_t$ vanishes in probability. Recall $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{V}ar(\xi_1)$ and set

$$Z^{(n)}_t := \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nt}} (\xi_i - \mathbb{E}[\xi_i]) = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}N_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} (\hat{\mu}_{nt} - \mu).$$

Then, by Donsker-Anscombe theorem we find in $(D[0,T], d_{SK})$ that $(Z^{(n)}_t)_{t\in[0,T]} \to (W_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ weakly as $n \to \infty$, such that $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} Z^{(n)}_t \to \sup_{t\in[0,T]} W_t$ weakly. Now, we first focus on the second summand of $R^{(n)}_t$ and show that its square root vanishes

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left[\left(\frac{N_{nt}}{\nu\sqrt{n}} \right)^{1/2} (\hat{\mu}_{nt} - \mu) \right] = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left[\left(\frac{n\sigma^4}{(\mu\nu N_{nt})^2} \right)^{1/4} Z^{(n)}_t \right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$. This holds true since in $(D[0,T], d_{SK})$ it holds almost surely that $(N_{nt})_t \sim (nt/\mu)_t$ as $n \to \infty$, compare e.g., Lemma A.3.2 in Messer et al. (2014). Thus, the first factor within the supreme itself vanishes such that the entire expression tends to zero. By continuous mapping theorem, this holds true for the squared expression, such that the second summand in $R_t^{(n)}$ uniformly tends to zero in probability. For the first summand in $R_t^{(n)}$, we decompose $(\hat{\mu}_{nT} - \hat{\mu}_{nt}) = (\hat{\mu}_{nT} - \mu) - (\hat{\mu}_{nt} - \mu)$ and apply the same argument as before to both summands. As a result R uniformly vanishes in probability. □

Now, we state the consistency of the variance estimator $\hat{s}$ in Corollary A.2.

Lemma A.1. *Let Φ be an element of $\mathscr{R}$ with $\nu^2 = \mathbb{V}ar((\xi_1 - \mu)^2)$. Let $T > 0$, $h \in (0, T/2]$ and $\hat{\nu}_{ri}^2$ and $\hat{\nu}_{le}^2$ be defined as in (10) using the estimated global mean $\hat{\mu}^{(i)} = \hat{\mu}_{nT} = (1/N_{nT}) \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nT}} \xi_i$. Then it holds in $(D[h, T-h], d_{||\cdot||}))$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$ that*

$$(\hat{\nu}_{ri}^2)_{t \in \tau_h} \longrightarrow (\nu^2)_{t \in \tau_h} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad (\hat{\nu}_{le}^2)_{t \in \tau_h} \longrightarrow (\nu^2)_{t \in \tau_h}. \tag{21}$$

Proof: For a known mean μ, i.e., V_i instead of $\hat{V}_i$ convergences (21) are shown using the same techniques as for the consistencies of $(\hat{\mu})_t$ and $(\hat{\sigma}^2)_t$ in Messer et al. (2014). A complete proof can be found in Albert (2014). The extension to the estimated global mean $\hat{\mu}_{nT}$ follows from the strong consistency $\hat{\mu}_{nT} \to \mu$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$ (see e.g., Messer et al. (2014)) and by standard application of Slutsky's theorem. □

Lemma A.1 directly implies the consistency of the variance estimator $\hat{s}^2$.

Corollary A.2. *Let $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$ with $\nu^2 = \mathbb{V}ar((\xi_1 - \mu)^2)$. Let $T > 0$, $h \in (0, T/2]$ and $\hat{s}^2(t,h)$ be defined as in (9). Then it holds in $(D[\tau_h], d_{||\cdot||})$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$ that*

$$\left(n\, \hat{s}^2(nt, nh)\right)_{t \in \tau_h} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{2\nu^2}{h/\mu}\right)_{t \in \tau_h}$$

Proof: This follows from Lemma A.1 by application of Slutsky's theorem. □

A.2 Proof of Theorem 2.5

For the proof of weak convergence of the filtered derivative process in Theorem 2.5, we use again the Donsker-Ascombe-Theorem and continuous mapping. In addition to the previous proof, a change point in the rate requires separate considerations for different intervals in the neighborhood of a change point. These are different for the right and left window and therefore, we define auxiliary processes that correspond to the right and left window, respectively.

Like in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we first assume known process parameters and use the modified filtered derivative process Γ

$$\Gamma_t^{(n)} = \Gamma_{\mathrm{ri},t}^{(n)} - \Gamma_{\mathrm{le},t}^{(n)}. \tag{22}$$

The latter terms are given by $\Gamma_{\text{ri}}^{(n)} =$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\frac{1}{N_{n(t+h)}-N_{nt}-1}\left(\sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}}(\xi_i-\mu_1)^2\right)-\sigma^2}{s_t^{(n)}} & \text{if } t < c-h, \\ \frac{\frac{1}{N_{n(t+h)}-N_{nt}-1}\left(\sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{nc}}(\xi_i-\mu_1)^2+\sum_{i=N_{nc}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}}(\xi_i-\mu_2)^2\right)-\sigma^2}{s_t^{(n)}} & \text{if } c-h \le t < c, \\ \frac{\frac{1}{N_{n(t+h)}-N_{nt}-1}\left(\sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}}(\xi_i-\mu_2)^2\right)-\sigma^2}{s_t^{(n)}} & \text{if } t \ge c, \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

and analogously for $\Gamma_{\text{le}}^{(n)}$. Analogously, we decompose the limit process $\widetilde{L}_{\text{ri}} - \widetilde{L}_{\text{le}} \sim \widetilde{L}$, where $\sim$ denotes equality in distribution. With $(W_{1,t})_{t\ge 0}$ and $(W_{2,t})_{t\ge 0}$ independent standard Brownian motions the latter terms are given by $\widetilde{L}_{\text{ri}} := \widetilde{L}_{\text{ri},h,t} =$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(W_{1,t+h}-W_{1,t})}{\sqrt{2h}}, & \text{if } t < c-h, \\ \frac{\sqrt{\mu_{\text{ri},t}^2\nu_2^2/(h^2\mu_2)}(W_{2,t+h}-W_{2,c})+\sqrt{\mu_{\text{ri},t}^2\nu_1^2/(h^2\mu_1)}(W_{1,c}-W_{1,t})}{s_t^{(1)}}, & \text{if } c-h \le t < c, \\ \frac{\sqrt{\mu_2\nu_2^2/h^2}(W_{2,t+h}-W_{2,t})}{s_t^{(1)}}, & \text{if } t \ge c, \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

and analogously for $\widetilde{L}_{\text{le}}$. The first step of the proof (see step 1 below) will be to show convergence of the processes

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{ri}}^{(n)} := \left(\frac{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} - 1}{nh/\mu_{\text{ri}}}\right)_t \cdot \Gamma_{\text{ri}}^{(n)} \tag{25}$$

and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{le}}$ against $\left(\widetilde{L}_{\text{ri}}, \widetilde{L}_{\text{le}}\right)$ using the Donsker-Ascombe-Theorem and continuous mapping. With Lemma A.3, we can then conclude

$$\left(\Gamma_{\text{ri}}^{(n)}, \Gamma_{\text{le}}^{(n)}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\widetilde{L}_{\text{ri}}, \widetilde{L}_{\text{le}}\right), \tag{26}$$

and using continuous mapping again yields for $t \in \tau_h$

$$\Gamma^{(n)} = \Gamma_{\text{ri}}^{(n)} - \Gamma_{\text{le}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} \widetilde{L}_{\text{ri}} - \widetilde{L}_{\text{le}} \sim \widetilde{L}. \tag{27}$$

In step two of the proof, we first replace the true means μ_1, μ_2 in the numerator by their estimators to define the process $\hat{\Gamma}^{(n)}$ and show

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{(n)} - \widetilde{\Gamma}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} (0)_t. \tag{28}$$

Then, we use Lemma A.4 to substitute the scaling $s_t^{(1)}$ used in $\hat{\Gamma}$ by the estimator $\hat{s}_t^{(n)}$ in order to prove the assertion.

Step 1: Proof of

$$\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{ri}}^{(n)}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{le}}^{(n)}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\widetilde{L}_{\text{ri}}, \widetilde{L}_{\text{le}}\right). \tag{29}$$

Let $(\xi_{1,i})_{i\geq 1}$, $(\xi_{2,i})_{i\geq 1}$ and $(\xi_i)_{i\geq 1}$ denote the sequences of life times that correspond to Φ_1, Φ_2 and to the compound process Φ, respectively. Analogously, let $(N_{1,t})_{t\geq 0}$, $(N_{2,t})_{t\geq 0}$ and $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote the counting processes that correspond to Φ_1, Φ_2 and to Φ. We use the abbreviated notation

$$V_{j,i} := (\xi_{j,i} - \mu_j)^2$$

for the individual processes Φ_j, $j = 1, 2$. According to the Anscombe-Donsker-Theorem we observe in $(D[0,\infty), d_{SK})$ as $n \to \infty$

$$\left(Z_{j,t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\geq 0} := \left(\frac{1}{\nu_j \sqrt{\frac{n}{\mu_j}}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{j,nt}} (V_{j,i} - \mathbb{E}[V_{j,i}])\right)\right)_{t\geq 0} \xrightarrow{d} (W_{j,t})_{t\geq 0}.$$

Using a different scaling it holds in $(D[0,\infty), d_{SK})$ as $n \to \infty$

$$\left(\widetilde{Z}_{j,t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\geq 0} := \left(\sqrt{\frac{\nu_j^2}{nh^2\mu_j}} \frac{1}{s_t^{(n)}} Z_{j,t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\geq 0} \xrightarrow{d} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\nu_j^2}{h^2\mu_j}} \frac{1}{s_t^{(1)}} W_{j,t}\right)_{t\geq 0} \tag{30}$$

because $(\sqrt{\nu_j^2/(nh^2\mu_j)}/s_t^{(n)}))_t$ is continuous in t and does not depend on n. As Φ_1 and Φ_2 are independent, we also obtain joint convergence in $(D[0,\infty) \times D[0,\infty), d_{SK} \otimes d_{SK})$ as $n \to \infty$

$$\left(\left(\widetilde{Z}_{1,t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\geq 0}, \left(\widetilde{Z}_{2,t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\geq 0}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\left(\sqrt{\frac{\nu_1^2}{h^2\mu_1}} \frac{1}{s_t^{(1)}} W_{1,t}\right)_{t\geq 0}, \left(\sqrt{\frac{\nu_2^2}{h^2\mu_2}} \frac{1}{s_t^{(1)}} W_{2,t}\right)_{t\geq 0}\right). \tag{31}$$

For $\mu_{\mathrm{ri}}(t) = \mu_{\mathrm{ri},t}$, $\mu_{\mathrm{le}}(t) = \mu_{\mathrm{le},t}$ (as in eq. (15)), we use the map $\varphi : (D[0,\infty) \times D[0,\infty), d_{SK} \otimes d_{SK}) \to (D[\tau_h] \times D[\tau_h], d_{SK} \otimes d_{SK})$ given by

$$((f(t))_{t\geq 0}, (g(t))_{t\geq 0}) \overset{\varphi}{\mapsto} \left(\begin{pmatrix} (f(t+h) - f(t))\mu_{\mathrm{ri}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{[h,c-h)}(t) \\ +(g(t+h) - g(c)) + (f(c) - f(t))\mu_{\mathrm{ri}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{[c-h,c)}(t) \\ +(g(t+h) - g(t))\mu_{\mathrm{ri}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{[c,T-h)}(t) \end{pmatrix}_t, \right.$$
$$\left. \begin{pmatrix} (f(t) - f(t-h))\mu_{\mathrm{le}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{[h,c)}(t) \\ +(g(t) - g(c)) + (f(c) - f(t-h))\mu_{\mathrm{le}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{[c,c+h)}(t) \\ +(g(t) - g(t-h))\mu_{\mathrm{le}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{[c+h,T-h]}(t) \end{pmatrix}_t \right)$$

As both component functions are compositions of continuous functions φ is also continuous. The Continuous-Mapping-Theorem explains why convergence (31) holds with map φ applied to both sides. φ applied to the right hand side of (31) equals $\left(\widetilde{L}_{\mathrm{ri}}(t), \widetilde{L}_{\mathrm{le}}(t)\right)$ in distribution, which can be obtained by elementary calculations. For the left hand side of (31) we show

$$\varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{Z}_{1,t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\geq 0}, \left(\widetilde{Z}_{2,t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\geq 0}\right) = \left(\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{ri},t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\in\tau_h}, \left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{le},t}^{(n)}\right)_{t\in\tau_h}\right). \tag{32}$$

We make the first coordinate explicit. There, we distinguish between the three cases $t \in [h, c-h)$, $t \in [c-h, c)$ and $t \in [c, T-h]$. For $t < c - h$, the first coordinate of the right hand side in equation (32) is given by

$$\frac{1}{nh/\mu_{\mathrm{ri}}} \sum_{i=N_{1,nt}+2}^{N_{1,n(t+h)}} (V_{1,i} - \mathbb{E}[V_{1,i}]) \frac{1}{s_t^{(n)}} = \left(\frac{1}{nh/\mu_{\mathrm{ri}}} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} V_i - \frac{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} - 1}{nh/\mu_{\mathrm{ri}}} \mathbb{E}[V_i]\right) \frac{1}{s_t^{(n)}}.$$

Exchanging subscripts yields analogous results for $t \geq c$. For $t \in [c-h, c)$ we obtain the first coordinate as

$$\frac{1}{nh/\mu_{\text{ri}}} \left(\sum_{i=N_{1,nt}+2}^{N_{1,nc}} V_{1,i} - \mathbb{E}[V_{1,i}] + \sum_{i=N_{2,nc}+2}^{N_{2,n(t+h)}} V_{2,i} - \mathbb{E}[V_{2,i}] \right) \frac{1}{s_t^{(n)}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{nh/\mu_{\text{ri}}} \left(\sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{nc}} V_i + \sum_{i=N_{nc}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} V_i \right) - \frac{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt} - 1}{nh/\mu_{\text{ri}}} \mathbb{E}[V_i] \right) \frac{1}{s_t^{(n)}}.$$

Thus, using the above arguments, we can conclude equation (27), and it only remains to be shown that the true means μ_1, μ_2 and the true scaling s can be replaced by their estimators.
Step 2: replacement of parameters by their estimators
First, we show equation (28). In order to obtain $\hat{\Gamma}^{(n)}$, we replace the true means by their estimators in the numerator of $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{(n)}$ and the true rate change point c by $\hat{c}$. Our aim is to show

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt}} \left(\sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} (\xi_i - \mu^{(i)})^2 - \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n(t+h)}} (\xi_i - \hat{\mu}^{(i)})^2 \right) \right)_t \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} (0)_t \tag{33}$$

for the right window with analogous arguments for the left window.

We start by assuming $c \in [t, t+h), \hat{c} \in [t, t+h)$ (similar arguments then hold for $c \in [t-h, t), \hat{c} \in [t-h, t)$). We first use the local estimators

$$\hat{\mu}_{1,\text{loc},t} := (N_{nc} - N_{nt} - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{nc}} \xi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mu}_{1,\text{loc},t}^{\hat{c}} := (N_{n\hat{c}} - N_{nt} - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n\hat{c}}} \xi_i$$

and analogously for $\hat{\mu}_{2,\text{loc},t}, \hat{\mu}_{2,\text{loc},t}^{\hat{c}}$. Applying the same arguments as for $R_t^{(n)}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we conclude that in $(D[c-h, c], d_{||\cdot||})$

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt}} ((N_{nc} - N_{nt})(\mu_1 - \hat{\mu}_{1,\text{loc},t})^2 + (N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nc})(\mu_2 - \hat{\mu}_{2,\text{loc},t})^2) \right)_t \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{34}$$

Thus, we have to prove that the difference of (34) and (33) vanishes, i.e.

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt}} \right)_t \times$$
$$\left(((N_{n\hat{c}} - N_{nt}) \left(\hat{\mu}_{1,\text{loc},t}^{\hat{c}} \right)^2 - (N_{nc} - N_{nt}) \hat{\mu}_{1,\text{loc},t}^2 + (N_{n(t+h)} - N_{n\hat{c}}) \left(\hat{\mu}_{2,\text{loc},t}^{\hat{c}} \right)^2 - (N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nc}) \hat{\mu}_{2,\text{loc},t}^2) \right)_t$$
$$\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{35}$$

We concentrate on the first two terms with the argumentation for the other terms being similar and note that the corresponding terms in the previous line are the same as

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt}} \left((N_{n\hat{c}} - N_{nc}) \left(\hat{\mu}_{1,\text{loc},t}^{\hat{c}} \right)^2 - (N_{nc} - N_{nt}) \left(\hat{\mu}_{1,\text{loc},t}^2 - \left(\hat{\mu}_{1,\text{loc},t}^{\hat{c}} \right)^2 \right) \right). \tag{36}$$

Due to assumption (13) we derive $|\sum_{i=N_{nc}+1}^{N_{nc}} \xi_i| \leq |n(c-\hat{c})| = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. As the life times are positive, we consequently have for the number of summands

$$N_{n\hat{c}} - N_{nc} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{37}$$

This convergence does not depend on t and consequently, it can be shown that the first summand in (36) vanishes. Defining $d_t^{(n)} := \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{1,\mathrm{loc},t} - \hat{\mu}_{1,\mathrm{loc},t}^{\hat{c}})$ we now show that $(|\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{1,\mathrm{loc},t}^2 - \left(\hat{\mu}_{1,\mathrm{loc},t}^{\hat{c}}\right)^2)|)_t = (|2\sqrt{n}\hat{\mu}_{1,\mathrm{loc},t}^{\hat{c}} d_t^{(n)} + (d_t^{(n)})^2|)_t$ converges in probability in $(D[c-h,c], d_{||\cdot||})$ to zero and thus (35) holds. W.l.o.g. we assume $\hat{c} < c$ and observe

$$\sqrt{n} d_t^{(n)} = n(N_{nc} - N_{nt})^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=N_{nt}+2}^{N_{n\hat{c}}} \xi_i (N_{n\hat{c}} - N_{nt})^{-1} (N_{n\hat{c}} - N_{nc}) + \sum_{i=N_{n\hat{c}}+1}^{N_{nc}} \xi_i \right).$$

Using Lemma A.3 and equation (37), we can prove that $(\sqrt{n} d_t^{(n)})_t$ (and also $((d_t^{(n)})^2)_t$) vanishes in $(D[c-h,c], d_{||\cdot||})$.

For those t whose h-neighborhoods do not contain both, c and $\hat{c}$, similar arguments as above can be applied to show (33). Thus, applying Slutsky's theorem we have shown (28) for the locally estimated means $\hat{\mu}_{1,\mathrm{loc},t}, \hat{\mu}_{2,\mathrm{loc},t}$. The substitution of these locally estimated means by the global means $\hat{\mu}_1^{\hat{c}} := (N_{n\hat{c}} - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{n\hat{c}}} \xi_i, \hat{\mu}_2^{\hat{c}} := (N_{nT} - N_{n\hat{c}} - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=N_{n\hat{c}}+2}^{N_{nT}} \xi_i$ can be done with a decomposition argument similiar to the one in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Hence, an application of Slutsky's theorem allows us to finally show (28).

In the last part of the proof we substitute the interpolated variance $(s_t^{(n)})^2$ by the estimated variance $\hat{s}_{nh,nt}^2$. With Lemma A.4 (section A.2.1) and weak convergence of $\hat{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{L}$, the assertion follows. □

A.2.1 Technical Lemmas

Using μ_{le} and μ_{ri} we obtain a convergence result for the scaled counting process $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Lemma A.3. *Let Φ be a renewal process like in Theorem 2.5 with mean functions $\mu_{le,h,t}$, $\mu_{ri,h,t}$ as in (15). Let $T > 0$, $h \in (0, T/2]$. Then we have in $(D[\tau_h], d_{||\cdot||})$ as $n \to \infty$ almost surely*

$$\left(\frac{N_{n(t+h)} - N_{nt}}{nh/\mu_{ri,h,t}} \right)_{t \in \tau_h} \longrightarrow (1)_{t \in \tau_h} \quad \textit{and} \quad \left(\frac{N_{nt} - N_{n(t-h)}}{nh/\mu_{le,h,t}} \right)_{t \in \tau_h} \longrightarrow (1)_{t \in \tau_h}.$$

Proof: This is Lemma A.1 in Messer and Schneider (2016).

The next result shows the convergence of the denominator of G. For a known rate the estimator $\hat{\nu}_{\mathrm{le}}^2$ (10) may be written as

$$\hat{\nu}_{\mathrm{le}}^2 := \frac{1}{N_{nt} - N_{n(t-h)} - 1} \left(\sum_{i=N_{n(t-h)}+2}^{N_{nc}} ((\xi_i - \mu_1)^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{le}}^2)^2 + \sum_{i=N_{nc}+2}^{N_{nt}} ((\xi_i - \mu_2)^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{le}}^2)^2 \right) \tag{38}$$

and analogous for $\hat{\nu}_{\mathrm{ri}}^2$ where c denotes the rate change point. Note that the life time $\xi_{N_{nc}+1}$ is not considered in the terms above as its distribution is a mixture of two distributions.

Lemma A.4. *Let $\Phi_1(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ and $\Phi_2(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$ be independent elements of $\mathscr{R}$ with $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$. Let $c \in (0, T]$ be a rate change point, so that the sequence $\Phi^{(n)}$ results from Φ_1 and Φ_2 according to model (12). Let $\hat{s}_{nh,nt}$ and $s_t^{(n)}$ be defined as in (9) and (16) and $\hat{c}$ be an estimator of c fulfilling assumption (13). Then it holds in $(D[\tau_h], d_{||\cdot||})$ for $n \to \infty$ in probability*

$$(\hat{s}_{nh,nt})_{t\in\tau_h} \longrightarrow \left(s_t^{(1)}\right)_{t\in\tau_h}$$

Proof: We show that the limit behaviour of $\hat{s}_{nh,nt}$ is given by

$$\frac{\nu_{\text{ri}}^2}{h/\mu_{\text{ri}}} + \frac{\nu_{\text{le}}^2}{h/\mu_{\text{le}}} \quad \forall t \in \tau_h,$$

where μ_{ri} and μ_{le} are the window means defined in (15).
$\nu_{\text{ri}}^2 := \nu_{\text{ri}}^2(h,t)$ is given by ${\nu_1}^2$ for $t \leq c - h$, by ${\nu_2}^2$ for $t > c$ and by

$$\nu_{\text{ri}}^2(h,t) := \frac{(c-t)/\mu_1 \cdot {\nu_1}^2 + (t+h-c)/\mu_2 \cdot {\nu_2}^2}{h/\mu_{\text{ri}}} \tag{39}$$

otherwise. ν_{le}^2 is defined analogously.
The uniform a.s. convergence of the estimators $\hat{\mu}_{\text{ri}}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{\text{le}}$ to μ_{ri} and μ_{le} is shown in Lemma A.2 in Messer and Schneider (2016). The uniform convergence in probability of the estimators $\hat{\nu}_{\text{ri}}^2$ and $\hat{\nu}_{\text{ri}}^2$ (as in (10)) to ν_{ri}^2 and ν_{le}^2 (defined in (39)) can be shown using the consistency result for ν^2 (Lemma A.1). We show this for $\hat{\nu}_{\text{le}}^2$ with the argumentation for $\hat{\nu}_{\text{ri}}^2$ being similar and assume first a known mean profile. By Lemma A.1 it holds for $n \to \infty$ that

$$\left(\frac{\sum_{i=N_{n(t-h)}+2}^{N_{nc}} ((\xi_i - \mu_1)^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\text{le}}^2)^2}{N_{nc} - N_{n(t-h)} - 1} \right)_{t\in\tau_h} \xrightarrow{a.s.} (\nu_1^2)_{t\in\tau_h}.$$

Lemma A.3 and Slutsky's theorem imply for the first summand of (38)

$$\left(\frac{\sum_{i=N_{nt-nh}+2}^{N_{nc}} ((\xi_i - \mu_1)^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\text{le}}^2)^2}{N_{nt} - N_{n(t-h)} - 1} \right)_{t\in\tau_h} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \left(\frac{(c-(t-h))/\mu_1}{h/\mu_{\text{ri}}} \nu_1^2 \right)_{t\in\tau_h}.$$

Similar calculations for the second summand yield

$$\left(\frac{\sum_{i=N_{nc}+2}^{N_{nt}} ((\xi_i - \mu_2)^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\text{le}}^2)^2}{N_{nt} - N_{n(t-h)} - 1} \right)_{t\in\tau_h} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \left(\frac{(t-c)/\mu_2}{h/\mu_{\text{ri}}} \nu_2^2 \right)_{t\in\tau_h}.$$

The exchange of the true means by their estimators results from Slutsky's theorem using assumption (13) of consistency (in probability) of the change point estimator, which yields $(\hat{\nu}_{\text{le}}^2)_{t\in\tau_h} \longrightarrow (\nu_{\text{le}}^2)_{t\in\tau_h}$ in probability . As all four functions $(\mu_{\text{ri}})_{t\in\tau_h}, (\mu_{\text{le}})_{t\in\tau_h}, (\nu_{\text{ri}}^2)_{t\in\tau_h}$ and

$(\nu^2_{\text{le}})_{t\in\tau_h}$ are continuous $\hat{s} \to s^{(1)}$ holds in probability for $n \to \infty$ by the form of the estimator $\hat{s}$ in (9). □

Note that Theorem 2.5 holds not only for renewal processes but for all point processes for which (30) holds and s_t is consistently estimated, for example also for a subclass of renewal processes with varying variance (RPVVs, cmp. Messer et al. (2014)).

References

Albert, S. (2014). Ein Multiple-Filter-Test zur Detektion von Varianzänderungen in Erneuerungsprozessen. Master's thesis, Goethe University, Frankfurt a.M.

Aue, A. and Horváth, L. (2013). Structural breaks in time series. *Journal Of Time Series Analysis*, 34(1):1–16.

Basseville, M. and Nikiforov, I. (1993). *Detection of Abrupt Changes: Theory and Application*. Prentice Hall Information and System Sciences Series. Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Bertrand, P. R., Fhima, M., and Guillin, A. (2011). Off-line detection of multiple change points by the filtered derivative with p-value method. *Sequential Analysis*, pages 172–207.

Brodsky, B. E. and Darkhovsky, B. S. (1993). *Nonparametric methods in change-point problems*, volume 243 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

Chen, J. and Gupta, A. K. (1997). Testing and locating variance changepoints with application to stock prices. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 92(438):739–747.

Csörgö, M. and Horváth, L. (1997). *Limit theorems in change-point analysis*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester. With a foreword by David Kendall.

Dayan, P. and Abbott, L. F. (2005). *Theoretical neuroscience: computational and mathematical modeling of neural systems*. The MIT Press, Cambridge.

Dette, H., Wu, W., and Zhou, Z. (2015). Change point analysis of second order characteristics in non-stationary time series. arXiv:1503.08610.

Frick, K., Munk, A., and Sieling, H. (2014). Multiscale change point inference. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 76(3):495–580. With 32 discussions by 47 authors and a rejoinder by the authors.

Fryzlewicz, P. (2014). Wild binary segmentation for multiple change-point-detection. *The Annals of Statistics*, 42(6):2243–2281.

Grün, S., Diesmann, M., and Aertsen, A. (2002). Unitary events in multiple single-neuron activity. ii. non-stationary data. *Neural Computation*, 14(1):81–119.

Grün, S. and Rotter, S., editors (2010). *Analysis of parallel spike trains*. Springer Series in Computational Neuroscience 7. Springer, New York.

Gut, A. (1987). *Stopped Random Walks*. Springer, New York.

Hawkins, D. and Zamba, K. (2005). A change-point model for a shift in variance. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 37(1).

Horváth, L., Horvath, Z., and Huskova, M. (2008). Ratio test for change point detection. *IMS Collection*, 1:293–304.

Hsu, D. A. (1977). Tests for variance shift at an unknown time point. *Applied Statistics*, 26:279–284.

Inclan, C. (1993). Detection of multiple changes of variance using posterior odds. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 11(3):289–300.

Inclan, C. and Tiao, G. (1994). Use of cumulative sums of squares for retrospective detection of changes of variance. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 89(427):913–923.

Kass, R. E., Ventura, V., and Brown, E. N. (2005). Statistical issues in the analysis of neuronal data. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 94:8–25.

Killick, R., Eckley, I., Ewans, K., and Jonathan, P. (2010). Detection of changes in variance of oceanographic time-series using changepoint analysis. *Ocean Engineering*, 37(13):1120–1126.

Kirch, C. and Muhsal, B. (2014). A mosum procedure for the estimation of multiple random change points. Preprint.

Korkas, K. K. and Fryzlewicz, P. (2016). Multiple change-point detection for non-stationary time series using wild binary segmentation. *Statistica Sinica*. to appear.

Matteson, D. S. and James, N. A. (2014). A nonparametric approach for multiple change point analysis of multivariate data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 109(505):334–345.

Messer, M., Kirchner, M., Schiemann, J., Roeper, J., Neininger, R., and Schneider, G. (2014). A multiple filter test for change point detection in renewal processes with varying variance. *Annals of Applied Statistics*, 8(4):2027–2067.

Messer, M. and Schneider, G. (2016). The shark fin function - asymptotic behavior of the filtered derivative for point processes in case of change points. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes*. DOI: 10.1007/s11203-016-9138-0.

Noorossana, R. and Heydari, M. (2012). Change point estimation of a normal process variance with monotonic change. *Scientia Iranica*, 19(3):885–894.

Quiroga-Lombard, C., Hass, J., and Durstewitz, D. (2013). Method for stationarity-segmentation of spike train data with application to the pearson cross-correlation. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 110:562–572.

Rodionov, S. (2005). A sequential method for detecting regime shifts in the mean and variance. In *Large-Scale Disturbances (Regime Shifts) and Recovery in Aquatic Ecosystems: Challenges for Management Toward Sustainability*, Proceedings of 2005 UNESCO-ROSTE/BAS Workshop on Regime Shifts, pages 68–72.

Schiemann, J., Klose, V., Schlaudraff, F., Bingmer, M., Seino, S., Magill, P. J., Schneider, G., Liss, B., and Roeper, J. (2012). K-atp channels control in vivo burst firing of dopamine neurons in the medial substantia nigra and novelty-induced behavior. *Nature Neuroscience*, 15(9):1272–80.

Schneider, G. (2008). Messages of oscillatory correlograms - a spike-train model. *Neural Computation*, 20(5):1211–1238.

Staude, B., Grün, S., and Rotter, S. (2010). Higher-order correlations in non-stationary parallel spike trains: statistical modeling and inference. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, 4:16.

Steinebach, J. and Eastwood, V. R. (1995). On extreme value asymptotics for increments of renewal processes. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 45(1-2):301–312.

Whitcher, B., Guttorp, P., and Percival, D. (2000). Multiscale detection and location of multiple variance changes in the presence of long memory. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 68(1).

Zhao, W., Tian, Z., and Xia, Z. (2010). Ratio test for variance change point in linear process with long memory. *Statistical Papers*, 51(2):397–407.